UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,663	10/29/2003	Michael G. Christofalo	043978-074000	4069
22204 NIXON PEABO	7590 12/15/200 ODY, LLP	EXAMINER		
401 9TH STRE		SMITH, CHENEA		
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2421	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/695,663	CHRISTOFALO ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
John W. Miller	2421	
	-	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 21 July 2008.
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal be brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	ht Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>John W. Miller</u> .	(3) <u>Chris Grant</u> .
(2) <u>Chenea Smith</u> .	(4)
/John W. Miller/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2421	/Christopher Grant/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2423